

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,269	07/08/2003	Ivan Yang-En Wu	7638-US-PA	1268
31561 7	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P	
7 FLOOR-1, N	IO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 100			2871	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

Application No. Application No. Application No. 10/604,269 WU ET AL.				711					
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Nancy Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen 2871 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. E detension of time may be available under the prevalence of 27 CF1. 13(a), in several, however, may reply be thrusy filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum shibitory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Preliuto to reply willish the set or adminished them marked the mailing date of this communication. Preliuto to reply willish the set of expired pixel, by status, cause the application to become ABAPOENG (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than them months after the mailing date of this communication, even if threely filled, may reduce any senter particle time deplement, 6-92 CP61. 17(abc). A property received by the Office later than them months after the mailing date of this communication, even if threely filled, may reduce any senter particle time deplement, 6-93 CP61. 17(abc). A property received by the Office later than the months after the mailing date of this communication, even if threely filled, may reduce any senter particle to second particle of the communication, even if threely filled, may reduce any senter particle to set of the communication of the communication and the communication of		10/604,269	WU ET AL.						
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be swalled under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.704(s). For the state of time may be swalled under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.704(s). Any repty readwed by the Office later than three mentits after the mailing date of this communication. Any repty readwed by the Office later than three mentits after the mailing date of this communication. Any repty readwed by the Office later than three mentits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any rearried patient the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any readment than adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2c) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare pending in the application. 4a) ☑ The above claim(s) ☐ 1.8 are allowed. 6b) ☑ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected. 7c) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected. 7c) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected. 7d) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected. 7e) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected. 7e) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 1.8.10 and 11 is lare rejected to explication requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2003 is lare: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2003 is lare: a) ☑ a	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esteration of time may be available under fine provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely fixed at the communication of the provision of the pr									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estandarion of this may be examined in the many and analysis of this communication of 12 (FE) 1.13(6). In no went, however, may a reply to three the manifest do this communication. Fallow is reply within the 201 of each and of period for reply will by stately, cause the applicant to become ABAPOCHE (36 U.S. 6), 1933. Any reply received by the Office bate than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Fallow is reply within the 201 of each and depended for reply will by stately, cause the applicant to become ABAPOCHE (36 U.S. 6), 1933. Any reduce any owner plants them deplacement. Set 7 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 July 2005 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to estance to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08.July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The drawing(s) filed on 08.July 2003 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Or of the author of the contribution of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies o	·								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensioned libre may be available under the proxisions of 2 CRF 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be limbly filed after SX (6) MODITIES from the malliling date of this communication. If NO period for reply is apecified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply an application. Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the malling date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the malling date of this communication, own if timely filed, may reduce any samed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.744(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 July 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is provided the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.68.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s)		/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (3	30) DAYS,					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08. July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ∑ The drawing(s) filed on 08. July 2003 is/are: a) ∑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ∑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ∑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status	•		•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ly 2005</u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This								
A) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 July _2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
6) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b objected to be priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _08 _July _2003 is/are: _a) _\[\] accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ─ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	11) I The bath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.					
a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	• •							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	·		ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s)			vd						
	Occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	.u.						
	Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	•						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,269

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment dated 7/20/2005.

2. Claims 1-6, 8 and 10-11 are presented for examination.

Claims 7, 9 and 12-21 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujieda U.S. Patent No. 6,781,647 in view of Itou et al U.S. Patent No. 6,556,260.

Referring to claims 1 and 8, Fujieda discloses a reflective liquid crystal display comprising a liquid crystal display panel (20) having a plurality of pixels, wherein each pixel has a plurality of color blocks; and a regional light source (10) over the liquid crystal display panel to radiate directly thereon, wherein the regional light source includes a plurality of spots (light emitting structure 12,13,14), [see figs. 2B & 3].

Fujieda lacks disclosure of wherein the regional light source includes a plurality of spots scattered over each of the color blocks, and wherein each of the light-emitting structure spots is disposed across at least two of the color blocks.

Itou et al discloses the regional light source (31) includes a plurality of spots scattered over each of the color blocks (51, 52, 53), and wherein each of the light-

Application/Control Number: 10/604,269

Art Unit: 2871

emitting structure spots is disposed across at least two of the color blocks, [see fig. 23], for the benefit of enabling a maximum utilization of light, [see col. 8, lines 35-43]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the regional light source includes a plurality of spots scattered over each of the color blocks, and each of the light-emitting structure spots is disposed across at least two of the color blocks for the benefit of enabling a maximum utilization of light.

Referring to claim 2, Fujieda discloses the regional light source comprising a substrate (11); and a plurality of light-emitting structure spots (12,13,14) on one side of the substrate, [see figure 2B].

Referring to claims 3-4, Fujieda discloses the light-emitting structure spots are disposed on the side of the liquid crystal display panel facing / distal to the liquid crystal display panel, [see figure 2B].

Referring to claim 5, Fujieda discloses the light-emitting structure includes an organic light emitting diode, [see column 4, lines 49-50].

Referring to claim 6, Fujieda discloses the light-emitting structure spots comprising a cathode; an anode, under the cathode at the side facing the liquid crystal display panel; and a luminescent layer, between the cathode and the anode, [see figure 2B, elements 12,13,14].

Referring to claim 10, Fujieda discloses the liquid crystal display panel comprising a color filter (26); a polarizer (29) on the color filter; a thin-film transistor substrate (21) without direct contact to the color filter; a reflection layer (22), formed on

Application/Control Number: 10/604,269

Art Unit: 2871

the thin-film transistor substrate; and a liquid crystal layer (24), filled between the color filter and the thin-film transistor substrate, [see figure 2B].

Referring to claim 11, Fujieda discloses the regional light source is directly mounted to the polarizer, [see column 5, lines 26-30].

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8 and 10-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fujieda U.S. Patent No. 6,781,647 discloses a regional light source over the liquid crystal display panel to radiate directly thereon.

Itou et al U.S. Patent No. 6,556,260 discloses the regional light source is located at an edge of each of the color blocks correspondingly.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2871
-- September 15, 2005 --

ROBERT KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER